



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012_AJAEES_2954
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the Trend and Sources of Economic Efficiency in the Sugar Industry in Nigeria

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author has respected some of my remarks but not all of them. The figures of the average EE index and CE have been changed substantially. I am still missing the definitions of some terms connected with efficiencies. There are still many grammatical errors, especially regarding the past and present tenses; some sentences have no verb. Ceteris paribus is the right Latin expression. Do not write in first person. Dashes and hyphens are not written properly. Capital to labour ratio. Corrected What does "inelastic association" mean?.....it is explain in the text Economic efficiency is higher not more. corrected The paragraph in 2.0 is too long. corrected Please, check numbering of the Tables (Table 1.2 shall be Table 1, there are two Table 4s, one of them before the Tables 2 and 3). corrected Software has no references. ...I feel this is not necessary References 1-38 are in alphabetial order, the rest of them are not. Agree with the journal policy. The references 16 and 17 are not complete (see the references 54 and 55 for comparison). Check the ref. 20 (literature), 36 (Institute), 41 (Protecting), 42 (Journal, Social), 43 () are not needed, 44 (Econometrics, ...; and font UK, 1977). Corrected ISO 80000 is not respected, yet (units, symbols, italics style, spaces between the number and % sign, or km², Mha symbols, minus sign, indices like <i>U_i</i>) – see my former remarks. Acronyms are used instead of quantity symbols. Periods and pages shall be written with a dash, not a hyphen, e.g. 1991-2001, pp. 1-29. followed now Some symbols (<i>W</i>, LR, <i>N</i>, δ, η, σ) are not explained when met first, some (SAP) are explained later, some of them (TVC, EE) are explained several times. explained now Symbols (not words) shall be written together with Arabic numbers, e.g. 1.5 Mt/a, 173.33 MUS\$D, 1 %, 50 % with a space inbetween. corrected Economic efficiency and cost efficiency have the same acronym (EE), and so have the two ADFs. Energy has the unit J (joule), costs (of energy) have monetary units. explained	The average EE index as presented in the first draft in the abstract was wrong, but was correctly presented in the result and discussion sections. This mistake was corrected in the second draft; so as presented now is okay. Cost efficiency is an example of economic efficiency. So in this study, EE = CE. I tried as much as possible to correct the grammatical errors.